

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,210 03/31/2004		Craig Ardner Swearingen	AUS920030808US1	9510
35525 IBM CORP (Y	7590 09/16/2008 A)	3	EXAM	INER
C/O YEE & AS	SSOCIATES PC	•	SHAW, PEL	ING ANDY
P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
21-221-3, 112			2144	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/815,210	SWEARINGEN ET AL.	
(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PELING A. SHAW	2144	Page 1 of 2
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	address
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE			(30) DAYS,
This time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFI communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.13		ditional MONTH. T	his
Applicant's request to not have a first-action interview	is acknowledged.		
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ju</u>	dy 2008 and interview condu	icted on 8 Sentemb	er 2008
2) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims	in parto quayro, roco c.e.		
•	iantina		
3) Claim(s) <u>1, 6 and 11</u> is/are pending in the appl 3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
4) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOTH CONSIDERATION.	·	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 6 and 11</u> is/are rejected.			
6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		·
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Application Papers	•		
8) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
9)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·		
10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	Thice Action of form	P10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
11) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	The Breeze Control		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		diantian NA	48
2. Certified copies of the priority document			nal Stage
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea</li> </ol>		ceiveu in uns nauoi	iai Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ved.	
Contact Information	٠.		
Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-7968			
Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: M-F 8:00 - 4:	00 .		
Supervisor's Name: William C. Vaughn			
Supervisor's Telephone Number: (571)272-3922			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date. 2008 0909 rmal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6) \ \ Other:	man atom replication	

Office Action Sumn	mary
--------------------	------

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10815210	SWEARINGEN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	PELING A. SHAW	2144	Page 2 of 2	

Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Rejection
1	6		101	Claim 6 recites "computer readable medium" which can be a transmission-type media, such as digital or analog communication links as per lines 7-19 on page 25 of applicant's original specification. That is not conforming 35 U.S.C. 101.
2	11		101	Claim 11 recites "apparatus" and "means for" without specific hardware references.  Compared with claim 6 language, there is no significant difference. It is the evidence that the apparatus is either a hybrid or software per se.
3	1, 6 and 11	В	103	Claim 1, 6 and 11 (column 1, lines 53-59: logon status, buddy lists; column 3, lines 48-63: block status code "none", "none except", inclusion list, ;
		A	103	B does not disclose "in response to receiving, displaying indicating), A disclosed (Fig. 3-4column 7, lines 15-37) an open mode is used to display an awareness of other works in proxmity for video conference on a computer browser.

Expanded Discussion/Commentary				
2		This is not conforming 35 U.S.C. 101.		
3		column 4, lines 37-44: chat room, instant message; Fig. 5, column 4, lines 54-63: display on list of buddies; column 5, lines 16-22: block all with "none" in permissions list table, Buddy Chat Invitation; column 5, lines 23-31: allow only the members below, inclusion list, Buddy Chat Invitation; column 5, lines 10-40: block status code for allow a Buddy Chat Invitation; Fig. 10, column 6, lines 18-35: send invitation.		
, <del>, , ,</del>				
DATE: 9/10 / 2008				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413FA (Rev. 09-07)

First Action Interview Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date